The Facilitation of Personal Growth

To be faced by a troubled, conflicted person who is seeking and expecting help, has always constituted a great challenge to me. Do I have the knowledge, the resources, the psychological strength, the skill—do I have whatever it takes to be of help to such an individual?

For more than twenty-five years I have been trying to meet this kind of challenge. It has caused me to draw upon every element of my professional background: the rigorous methods of personality measurement which I first learned at Teachers College, Columbia; the Freudian psychoanalytic insights and methods of the Institute for Child Guidance where I worked as intern; the continuing developments in the field of clinical psychology, with which I have been closely associated; the briefer exposure to the work of Otto Rank, the methods of psychiatric social work, and other contacts too numerous to mention. But most of all it has meant a continual learning from my own experience and that of my colleagues at the Counseling Center as we have endeavored to discover for ourselves effective means of working with people in distress. Gradually I have developed a way of working which grows out of that experience, and which can be tested, refined, and reshaped by further experience and by research.

One brief way of describing the change which has taken place in me is to say that in my early professional years I was asking the question: How can I treat, or cure, or change this person? Now I would phrase the question this way: How can I provide a relationship which this person may use for his own personal growth?

It is as I have come to put the question in this second way that I realize that whatever I have learned is applicable to all of my human relationships, not just to working with clients with problems. It is for this reason that I feel it is possible that the learnings which have had meaning for me in my experience may have some meaning for you in your experience, since all of us are involved in human relationships.

Perhaps I should start with a negative learning. It has gradually been driven home to me that I
cannot be of help to this troubled person by means of any intellectual or training procedure. No approach which relies upon knowledge, upon training, upon the acceptance of something that is taught, is of any use. These approaches seem so tempting and direct that I have, in the past, tried a great many of them. It is possible to explain a person to himself, to prescribe steps which should lead him forward, to train him in knowledge about a more satisfying mode of life. But such methods are, in my experience, futile and inconsequential. The most they can accomplish is some temporary change, which soon disappears, leaving the individual more than ever convinced of his inadequacy.

The failure of any such approach through the intellect has forced me to recognize that change appears to come about through experience in a relationship. So I am going to try to state very briefly and informally some of the essential hypotheses regarding a helping relationship which have seemed to gain increasing confirmation both from experience and research.

I can state the over-all hypothesis in one sentence, as follows. If I can provide a certain type of relationship, the other person will discover within himself the capacity to use that relationship for growth, and change and personal development will occur.

But what meaning do these terms have? Let me take separately the three major phrases in this sentence and indicate something of the meaning they have for me. What is this certain type of relationship I would like to provide?

I have found that the more I can be genuine in the relationship, the more helpful it will be. This means that I need to be aware of my own feelings, in so far as possible, rather than presenting an outward facade of one attitude, while actually holding another attitude at a deeper or unconscious level. Being genuine also involves the willingness to be and to express, in my words and my behavior, the various feelings and attitudes which exist in me. It is only in this way that the relationship can have reality and reality seems deeply important as a first condition. It is only by providing the genuine reality which is in me, that the other person can seek for the reality which is in him.

As a second condition, I find that the more acceptance and liking I feel toward this individual, the more I will be creating a relationship which he can use. By acceptance I mean a warm regard for him as a person of unconditional self-worth—of value no matter what his condition, his behavior, or his feelings. It means a respect and liking for him as a separate person, a willingness for him to possess his own feelings in his own way. It means an acceptance of and regard for his attitudes of the moment, no matter how negative or positive, no matter how much they may contradict other attitudes he has held in the past. This acceptance of each fluctuating aspect of this other person makes it for him a relationship of warmth and safety, and the safety of being liked and prized as a person seems a highly important element in a helping relationship.

I also find that the relationship is significant to the extent that I feel a continuing desire to understand—a sensitive empathy with each of the client’s feelings and communications as they seem to him at that moment. Acceptance does not mean much until it involves understanding. It is only as I understand the feelings and thoughts which seem so horrible to you, or so weak, or so sentimental, or so bizarre—it is only as I see them as you see them, and accept them and you, that you can feel really free to explore all the hidden nooks and frightening crannies of your inner and often buried experience. This freedom is an important condition of the relationship. There is implied here a freedom to explore oneself at both conscious and unconscious levels, as rapidly as one can dare to embark on this dangerous quest. There is also a complete freedom from any type of moral or diagnostic evaluation, since all such evaluations are, I believe, always threatening.
Thus the relationship which I have found helpful is characterized by a sort of transparency on
my part, in which my real feelings are evident; by an acceptance of this other person as a separate
person with value in his own right; and by a deep empathic under-standing which enables me to
see his private world through his eyes. When these conditions are achieved, I become a
companion to my client, accompanying him in the frightening search for himself, which he now
feels free to undertake.

I am by no means always able to achieve this kind of relationship with another, and sometimes,
even when I feel I have achieved it in myself, he may be too frightened to perceive what is being
offered to him. But I would say that when I hold in myself the kind of attitudes I have described,
and when the other person can to some degree experience these attitudes, then I believe that
change and constructive personal development will invariably occur—and I include that word
“invariably” only after long and careful consideration.

So much for the relationship. The second phrase in my over-all hypothesis was that the
individual will discover within himself the capacity to use this relationship for growth. I will try
to indicate something of the meaning which that phrase has for me. Gradually my experience has
forced me to conclude that the individual has within himself the capacity and the tendency, latent
if not evident, to move forward toward maturity. In a suitable psychological climate this tendency
is released and becomes actual rather than potential. It is evident in the capacity of the individual
to under-stand those aspects of his life and of himself which are causing him pain and
dissatisfaction, an understanding which probes beneath his conscious knowledge of himself into
those experiences which he has hidden from himself because of their threatening nature. It shows
itself in the tendency to reorganize his personality and his relationship to life in ways which are
regarded as more mature. Whether one calls it a growth tendency, a drive toward self-
actualization, or a forward-moving directional tendency, it is the mainspring of life, and is, in the
last analysis, the tendency upon which all psychotherapy depends. It is the urge which is evident
in all organic and human life—to expand, extend, become autonomous, develop, mature—the
tendency to express and activate all the capacities of the organism, to the extent that such
activation enhances the organism or the self. This tendency may become deeply buried under
layer after layer of encrusted psychological defenses; it may be hidden behind elaborate facades
which deny its existence; but it is my belief that it exists in every individual, and awaits only the
proper conditions to be released and expressed.

I have attempted to describe the relationship which is basic to constructive personality change.
I have tried to put into words the type of capacity which the individual brings to such a
relationship. The third phrase of my general statement was that change and personal development
would occur. It is my hypothesis that in such a relationship the individual will reorganize himself
at both the conscious and deeper levels of his personality in such a manner as to cope with life
more constructively, more intelligently, and in a more socialized as well as a more satisfying
way.

Here I can depart from speculation and bring in the steadily increasing body of solid research
knowledge which is accumulating. We know now that individuals who live in such a relationship
even for a relatively limited number of hours show profound and significant changes in
personality, attitudes, and behavior, changes that do not occur in matched control groups. In such
a relationship the individual becomes more integrated, more effective. He shows fewer of the
characteristics which are usually termed neurotic or psychotic, and more of the characteristics of
the healthy, well-functioning person. He changes his perception of himself, becoming more
realistic in his views of self. He becomes more like the person he wishes to be. He values himself
more highly. He is more self-confident and self-directing. He has a better understanding of himself, becomes more open to his experience, denies or represses less of his experience. He becomes more accepting in his attitudes toward others, seeing others as more similar to himself.

In his behavior he shows similar changes. He is less frustrated by stress, and recovers from stress more quickly. He becomes more mature in his everyday behavior as this is observed by friends. He is less defensive, more adaptive, more able to meet situations creatively.

These are some of the changes which we now know come about in individuals who have completed a series of counseling interviews in which the psychological atmosphere approximates the relationship I have described. Each of the statements made is based upon objective evidence. Much more research needs to be done, but there can no longer be any doubt as to the effectiveness of such a relationship in producing personality change.

To me, the exciting thing about these research findings is not simply the fact that they prove the efficacy of one form of psychotherapy, though that is by no means unimportant. The excitement comes from the fact that these findings justify an even broader hypothesis regarding all human relationships. There seems every reason to suppose that the therapeutic relationship is only one instance of interpersonal relations, and the same lawfulness governs all such relationships. Thus it seems reasonable to hypothesize that if the parent creates with his child a psychological climate such as we have described, then the child will become more self-directing, socialized, and mature. To the extent that the teacher creates such a relationship with his class, the student will become a self-initiated learner, more original, more self-disciplined, less anxious, and other-directed. if the administrator, or military or industrial leader, creates such a climate within his organization, then his staff will become more self-responsible, more creative, better able to adapt to new problems, more basically co-operative. It appears possible to me that we are seeing the emergence of a new field of human relationships, in which we may specify that if certain attitudinal conditions exist, then certain definable changes will occur.

Let me conclude by returning to a personal statement. I have tried to share with you something of what I have learned in trying to be of help to troubled, unhappy, maladjusted individuals. I have formulated the hypothesis which has gradually come to have meaning for me—not only in my relationship to clients in distress, but in all my human relationships. I have indicated that such research knowledge as we have supports this hypothesis, but that there is much more investigation needed. I should like now to pull together into one statement the conditions of this general hypothesis and the effects which are specified. If I can create a relationship characterized on my part:
by a genuineness and transparency, in which I am my real feelings,
by a warm acceptance of and
liking for the other person as a separate individual;
by a sensitive ability to see his world and himself as he sees them;
Then the other individual in the relationship:
will experience and understand aspects of himself which previously he has repressed;
will find himself becoming better integrated, more able to function effectively;
will become more similar to the person he would like to be;
will be more self-directing and self-confident;
will become more of a person, more unique, and more self-expressive;
will be able to cope with the problems of life more adequately and more comfortably.

I believe that this statement holds whether I am speaking of my relationship with a client, with a group of students or staff members, with my family or children. It seems to me that we have
here a general hypothesis which offers exciting possibilities for the development of creative, adaptive, inner-directed persons.